

BOUNDS ON TRIANGULAR DISCRIMINATION, HARMONIC MEAN AND SYMMETRIC CHI-SQUARE DIVERGENCES

INDER JEET TANEJA

ABSTRACT. There are many information and divergence measures exist in the literature on information theory and statistics. The most famous among them are Kullback-Leiber [15] *relative information* and Jeffreys [14] *J-divergence*. The measures like *Bhattacharya distance*, *Hellinger discrimination*, *Chi-square divergence*, *triangular discrimination* and *harmonic mean divergence* are also famous in the literature on statistics. In this paper we have obtained bounds on *triangular discrimination* and *symmetric chi-square divergence* in terms of *relative information of type s* using Csiszár's *f-divergence*. A relationship among *triangular discrimination* and *harmonic mean divergence* is also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let

$$\Gamma_n = \left\{ P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \left| p_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \right. \right\}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

be the set of all complete finite discrete probability distributions. For all $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, the following measures are well known in the literature on information theory and statistics:

- **Bhattacharya Distance** (Bhattacharya [2])

$$(1.1) \quad B(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{p_i q_i}.$$

- **Hellinger discrimination** (Hellinger [13])

$$(1.2) \quad h(P||Q) = 1 - B(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2.$$

- **χ^2 -Divergence** (Pearson [18])

$$(1.3) \quad \chi^2(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{q_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2}{q_i} - 1.$$

- **Relative Information** (Kullback and Leibler [15])

$$(1.4) \quad K(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 94A17; 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Relative information of type s; Harmonic mean divergence; Triangular divergence; Symmetric Chi-square divergence; Csiszár's f-divergence; Information inequalities.

To appear in: *Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics (2005)*.

The above four measures can be obtained as particular or limiting case of the *relative information of type s*. This measure is given by

• **Relative Information of Type s**

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi_s(P||Q) = \begin{cases} K_s(P||Q) = [s(s-1)]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^s q_i^{1-s} - 1 \right], & s \neq 0, 1 \\ K(Q||P) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \ln \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right), & s = 0 \\ K(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right), & s = 1 \end{cases}.$$

The measure (1.5) admits the following interesting particular cases:

- (i) $\Phi_{-1}(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2}\chi^2(Q||P)$.
- (ii) $\Phi_0(P||Q) = K(Q||P)$.
- (iii) $\Phi_{1/2}(P||Q) = 4[1 - B(P||Q)] = 4h(P||Q)$.
- (iv) $\Phi_1(P||Q) = K(P||Q)$.
- (v) $\Phi_2(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2}\chi^2(P||Q)$.

Thus we observe that $\Phi_2(P||Q) = \Phi_{-1}(Q||P)$ and $\Phi_1(P||Q) = \Phi_0(Q||P)$.

For more studies on the measure (1.5) refer to Liese and Vajda [16], Vajda [27], Taneja [19], [20], [22] and Cerone et al. [4].

Recently Taneja [23] and Taneja and Kumar [25] studied the (1.5) and obtained bounds in terms of the measures (1.1)-(1.4). Here we shall extend the our study for the other measures known in the literature as *triangular discrimination*, *harmonic mean divergence* and *symmetric chi-square divergence*.

The *triangular discrimination* is given by

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{p_i + q_i}.$$

After simplification, we can write

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta(P||Q) = 2[1 - W(P||Q)],$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad W(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2p_i q_i}{p_i + q_i},$$

is the well known *harmonic mean divergence*.

We observe that the measures (1.3) and (1.4) are not symmetric with respect to probability distributions. The symmetric version of the measure (1.4) famous as Jeffreys-Kullback-Leiber

J -divergence is given by

$$(1.9) \quad J(P||Q) = K(P||Q) + K(Q||P).$$

Let us consider the *symmetric chi-square divergence* given by

$$(1.10) \quad \Psi(P||Q) = \chi^2(P||Q) + \chi^2(Q||P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2(p_i + q_i)}{p_i q_i}.$$

Dragomir [12] studied the measure (1.10) and obtained interesting result relating it to *triangular discrimination* and J -divergence.

Some studies on the measures (1.6) and (1.8) can be seen in Dragomir [10], [11] and Topsøe [26]. Recently, Taneja [23] and Taneja and Kumar [25] studied the measure (1.5) and obtained bounds in terms of the measures (1.1)-(1.4). Similar kind of bounds on the measure (1.9) are recently obtained by Taneja [24]. In this paper, we shall extend the our study for *triangular discrimination* and *symmetric chi-square divergence*. In order to obtain bounds on these measures we make use of Csiszár's [5] f -divergence.

2. CSISZÁR'S f -DIVERGENCE AND ITS PARTICULAR CASES

Given a convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the f -divergence measure introduced by Csiszár [5] is given by

$$(2.1) \quad C_f(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i f\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right),$$

where $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$.

It is well known in the literature [5] that *if f is convex and normalized, i.e., $f(1) = 0$, then the Csiszár's function $C_f(P||Q)$ is nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distribution $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.*

Here below we shall give the measures (1.6) and (1.10) being examples of the measure (2.1).

Example 2.1. (*Triangular discrimination*). Let us consider

$$(2.2) \quad f_{\Delta}(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2}{x+1}, \quad x \in (0, \infty)$$

in (2.1), we have

$$C_f(P||Q) = \Delta(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{p_i + q_i},$$

where $\Delta(P||Q)$ is as given by (1.6).

Moreover,

$$(2.3) \quad f'_{\Delta}(x) = \frac{(x-1)(x+3)}{(x+1)^2}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad f''_{\Delta}(x) = \frac{8}{(x+1)^3}.$$

Thus we have $f''_{\Delta}(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$, and hence, $f_{\Delta}(x)$ is *strictly convex* for all $x > 0$. Also, we have $f_{\Delta}(1) = 0$. In view of this we can say that the *triangular discrimination* is *nonnegative*

and *convex* in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.

Example 2.2. (*Symmetric chi-square divergence*). Let us consider

$$(2.5) \quad f_\Psi(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2(x+1)}{x}, \quad x \in (0, \infty)$$

in (2.1), we have

$$C_f(P||Q) = \Psi(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2(p_i + q_i)}{p_i q_i},$$

where $\Psi(P||Q)$ is as given by (1.10).

Moreover,

$$(2.6) \quad f'_\Psi(x) = \frac{(x-1)(2x^2 + x + 1)}{x^2}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad f''_\Psi(x) = \frac{2(x^3 + 1)}{x^3}.$$

Thus we have $f''_\Psi(x) > 0$ for all $x > 0$, and hence, $f_\Psi(x)$ is *strictly convex* for all $x > 0$. Also, we have $f_\Psi(1) = 0$. In view of this we can say that the *symmetric chi-square divergence* is *nonnegative* and *convex* in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.

3. CSISZÁR'S f -DIVERGENCE AND RELATIVE INFORMATION OF TYPE s

During past years Dragomir done a lot of work giving bounds on Csiszár's f -divergence. Here below we shall summarize the some his results [6], [7], [9].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be differentiable convex and normalized i.e., $f(1) = 0$. If $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \leq C_f(P||Q) \leq \rho_{C_f}(P||Q),$$

where $\rho_{C_f}(P||Q)$ is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \rho_{C_f}(P||Q) = C_{f'}\left(\frac{P^2}{Q}||P\right) - C_{f'}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) f'\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right).$$

If $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$ are such that

$$0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

for some r and R with $0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$, then we have the following inequalities:

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \leq C_f(P||Q) \leq \alpha_{C_f}(r, R),$$

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \leq C_f(P||Q) \leq \beta_{C_f}(r, R)$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \beta_{C_f}(r, R) - C_f(P||Q) \\ &\leq \gamma_{C_f}(r, R) [(R-1)(1-r) - \chi^2(P||Q)] \leq \alpha_{C_f}(r, R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad \alpha_{C_f}(r, R) = \frac{1}{4}(R-r)^2 \gamma_{C_f}(r, R),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \beta_{C_f}(r, R) = \frac{(R-1)f(r) + (1-r)f(R)}{R-r}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \gamma_{C_f}(r, R) = \frac{f'(R) - f'(r)}{R-r}.$$

The following proposition is due to Taneja [23] and Taneja and Kumar [25] and is a consequence of the above theorem.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have*

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \leq \Phi_s(P||Q) \leq \rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q),$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) &= C_{\phi'_s} \left(\frac{P^2}{Q} || P \right) - C_{\phi'_s}(P||Q) \\ &= \begin{cases} (s-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right)^{s-1}, & s \neq 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right), & s = 1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists r, R ($0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$) such that

$$0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

then we have the following inequalities

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \leq \Phi_s(P||Q) \leq \alpha_{\Phi_s}(r, R),$$

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \leq \Phi_s(P||Q) \leq \beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R)$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q) \\ &\leq \gamma_{\Phi_s}(r, R) [(R-1)(1-r) - \chi^2(P||Q)] \leq \alpha_{\Phi_s}(r, R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \alpha_{\Phi_s}(r, R) = \frac{1}{4}(R-r)^2 \gamma_{\Phi_s}(r, R),$$

$$(3.15) \quad \beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) = \begin{cases} \frac{(R-1)(r^s-1)+(1-r)(R^s-1)}{(R-r)s(s-1)}, & s \neq 0, 1 \\ \frac{(R-1) \ln \frac{1}{r} + (1-r) \ln \frac{1}{R}}{(R-r)}, & s = 0 \\ \frac{(R-1)r \ln r + (1-r)R \ln R}{(R-r)}, & s = 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \gamma_{\Phi_s}(r, R) = \begin{cases} \frac{R^{s-1} - r^{s-1}}{(R-r)(s-1)}, & s \neq 1 \\ \frac{\ln R - \ln r}{R-r}, & s = 1 \end{cases},$$

We can also write $\gamma_{\Phi_s}(r, R)$ as follows

$$(3.17) \quad \gamma_{\Phi_s}(r, R) = \begin{cases} L_{s-2}^{s-2}(r, R), & s \neq 1 \\ L_{-1}^{-1}(r, R) & s = 1 \end{cases},$$

where $L_p(a, b)$ is the famous (Bullen, Mitrinović and Vasić [3]) *p-logarithmic power mean* given by

$$(3.18) \quad L_p(a, b) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{b^{p+1} - a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, & p \neq -1, 0 \\ \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a}, & p = -1 \\ \frac{1}{e} \left[\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right]^{\frac{1}{b-a}}, & p = 0 \end{cases},$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq b$.

The expression (3.10) admits the following particular cases:

- (i) $\rho_{\Phi_{-1}}(P||Q) = 3\Phi_3(Q||P) - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2(Q||P)$.
- (ii) $\rho_{\Phi_0}(P||Q) = \chi^2(Q||P)$.
- (iii) $\rho_{\Phi_1}(P||Q) = J(P||Q)$.
- (iv) $\rho_{\Phi_{1/2}}(P||Q) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - p_i) \sqrt{\frac{q_i}{p_i}}$
- (v) $\rho_{\Phi_2}(P||Q) = \chi^2(P||Q)$

The expression (3.15) admits the following particular cases:

- (i) $\beta_{\Phi_{-1}}(P||Q) = \frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{2rR}$.
- (ii) $\beta_{\Phi_0}(r, R) = \frac{(R-1) \ln \frac{1}{r} + (1-r) \ln \frac{1}{R}}{R-r}$.
- (iii) $\beta_{\Phi_1}(r, R) = \frac{(R-1)r \ln r + (1-r)R \ln R}{R-r}$.
- (iv) $\beta_{\Phi_{1/2}}(P||Q) = \frac{4(\sqrt{R}-1)(1-\sqrt{r})}{\sqrt{R}+\sqrt{r}}$.
- (v) $\beta_{\Phi_2}(P||Q) = \frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{2}$.

The following theorem is due to Taneja [23] and Taneja and Kumar [25].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f : I \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ the generating mapping is normalized, i.e., $f(1) = 0$ and satisfy the assumptions:*

- (i) *f is twice differentiable on (r, R) , where $0 \leq r \leq 1 \leq R \leq \infty$;*
- (ii) *there exists real constants m, M such that $m < M$ and*

$$(3.19) \quad m \leq x^{2-s} f''(x) \leq M, \quad \forall x \in (r, R), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$ are discrete probability distributions satisfying the assumption

$$0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty,$$

then we have the inequalities:

$$(3.20) \quad m\Phi_s(P||Q) \leq C_f(P||Q) \leq M\Phi_s(P||Q),$$

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} m[\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \rho_{C_f}(P||Q) - C_f(P||Q) \\ \leq M[\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} m[\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \beta_{C_f}(r, R) - C_f(P||Q) \\ \leq M[\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)], \end{aligned}$$

where $C_f(P||Q)$, $\Phi_s(P||Q)$, $\rho_{C_f}(P||Q)$, $\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q)$, $\beta_{C_f}(r, R)$ and $\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R)$ are as given by (2.1), (1.5), (3.2), (3.10), (3.7) and (3.15) respectively.

The above theorem unifies some of the results studied by Dragomir [8], [10], [11].

In the papers Taneja [23] and Taneja and Kumar [25] considered the particular values of s and Φ_s by taking $s = -1$, $s = 0$, $s = \frac{1}{2}$, $s = 1$ and $s = 2$. The aim here is to obtain results by taking different values of f given by examples 2.1-2.2, and then obtain particular cases for different values of s .

Remark 3.1. If is it not specified, from now onwards, it is understood that, if there are r, R then $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$, $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with $0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$ where $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Gamma_n$ and $Q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) \in \Gamma_n$.

4. TRIANGULAR DISCRIMINATION AND INEQUALITIES

In this section, we shall give bounds on *triangular discrimination* based on the Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 4.1. For all $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, we have the following inequalities

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \rho_{\Delta}(P||Q),$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \rho_{\Delta}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i - q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right)^2 (p_i + 3q_i).$$

If there exists r, R ($0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$) such that

$$0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

then we have the following inequalities:

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \alpha_{\Delta}(r, R),$$

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \beta_{\Delta}(r, R)$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq \beta_{\Delta}(r, R) - \Delta(P||Q) \\ \leq \gamma_{\Delta}(r, R) [(R - 1)(1 - r) - \chi^2(P||Q)] \leq \alpha_{\Delta}(r, R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad \alpha_{\Delta}(r, R) = \frac{1}{4}(R-r) \left[\frac{(R-1)(R+3)}{(R+1)^2} + \frac{(1-r)(r+3)}{(r+1)^2} \right],$$

$$(4.7) \quad \beta_{\Delta}(r, R) = \frac{2(R-1)(1-r)}{(R+1)(1+r)}$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \gamma_{\Delta}(r, R) = (R-r)^{-1} \left[\frac{(R-1)(R+3)}{(R+1)^2} + \frac{(1-r)(r+3)}{(r+1)^2} \right].$$

Proof. Follows from the Theorem 3.1 by considering f by f_{Δ} and making necessary calculations. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let there exists r, R ($0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$) such that $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

(a) For $s \leq -1$, we have the following inequalities:

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{8r^{2-s}}{(r+1)^3} \Phi_s(P||Q) \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \frac{8R^{2-s}}{(R+1)^3} \Phi_s(P||Q),$$

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{8r^{2-s}}{(r+1)^3} [\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \Delta^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{8R^{2-s}}{(R+1)^3} [\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{8r^{2-s}}{(r+1)^3} [\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \beta_{\Delta}(r, R) - \Delta(P||Q) \\ \leq \frac{8R^{2-s}}{(R+1)^3} [\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)]. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For $s \geq 2$, we have the following inequalities:

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{8R^{2-s}}{(R+1)^3} \Phi_s(P||Q) \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \frac{8r^{2-s}}{(r+1)^3} \Phi_s(P||Q),$$

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{8R^{2-s}}{(R+1)^3} [\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \Delta^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{8r^{2-s}}{(r+1)^3} [\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{R^{1-s}}{(R+1)^2} [\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \beta_{\Delta}(r, R) - \Delta(P||Q) \\ \leq \frac{r^{1-s}}{(r+1)^2} [\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.15) \quad \Delta^*(P||Q) = \rho_\Delta(P||Q) - \Delta(P||Q) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left(\frac{p_i - q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right)^2.$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$(4.16) \quad g_\Delta(x) = x^{2-s} f''_\Delta(x) = \frac{8x^{2-s}}{(x+1)^3}, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

where $f''_\Delta(x)$ is as given by (2.4).

We have

$$(4.17) \quad g'_\Delta(x) = -\frac{8x^{1-s}[(s+1)x + (s-2)]}{(x+1)^4} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & s \leq -1 \\ \leq 0, & s \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

In view of (4.17), we conclude the followings:

$$(4.18) \quad m = \inf_{x \in [r, R]} g(x) = \min_{x \in [r, R]} g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{8r^{2-s}}{(r+1)^3}, & s \leq -1 \\ \frac{8R^{2-s}}{(R+1)^3}, & s \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.19) \quad M = \sup_{x \in [r, R]} g(x) = \max_{x \in [r, R]} g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{8R^{2-s}}{(R+1)^3}, & s \leq -1 \\ \frac{8r^{2-s}}{(r+1)^3}, & s \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

From (4.18) and (4.19) and Theorem 3.2, we have the required proof. $\square$

The following propositions are the particular cases of the above theorem.

Proposition 4.1. *We have the following bounds in terms of χ^2 -divergence:*

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{4r^3}{(r+1)^3} \chi^2(Q||P) \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \frac{4R^3}{(R+1)^3} \chi^2(Q||P),$$

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{8r^3}{(r+1)^3} [3\Phi_3(Q||P) - \chi^2(Q||P)] \\ & \leq \Delta^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{8R^3}{(R+1)^3} [3\Phi_3(Q||P) - \chi^2(Q||P)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{4r^3}{(r+1)^3} \left[\frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{rR} - \chi^2(Q||P) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{2(R-1)(1-r)}{(R+1)(1+r)} - \Delta(P||Q) \\ & \leq \frac{4R^3}{(R+1)^3} \left[\frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{rR} - \chi^2(Q||P) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $s = -1$ in (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) we get respectively (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22). $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *We have the following bounds in terms of χ^2 -divergence:*

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{4}{(R+1)^3} \chi^2(P||Q) \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \frac{4}{(r+1)^3} \chi^2(P||Q),$$

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{4}{(R+1)^3} \chi^2(P||Q) \leq \Delta^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{4}{(r+1)^3} \chi^2(P||Q)$$

and

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{4}{(R+1)^3} [(R-1)(1-r) - \chi^2(P||Q)] \\ \leq \frac{2(R-1)(1-r)}{(R+1)(1+r)} - \Delta(P||Q) \\ \leq \frac{4}{(r+1)^3} [(R-1)(1-r) - \chi^2(P||Q)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $s = 2$ in (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14) we get respectively (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25). $\square$

We observe that the Theorem 4.2 is not valid for $s = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1. These particular values of s we shall do separately. In these cases, we don't have inequalities on both sides as in the case of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$(4.26) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \frac{32}{27} K(Q||P),$$

$$(4.27) \quad 0 \leq \Delta^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{32}{27} [\chi^2(Q||P) - K(Q||P)]$$

and

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{32}{27} K(Q||P) - \Delta(P||Q) \\ &\leq \frac{32}{27} \frac{(R-1) \ln \frac{1}{r} + (1-r) \ln \frac{1}{R}}{R-r} - \frac{2(R-1)(1-r)}{(R+1)(1+r)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $s = 0$ in (4.16), we have

$$(4.29) \quad g_\Delta(x) = \frac{8x^2}{(x+1)^3}.$$

This gives

$$(4.30) \quad g'_\Delta(x) = -\frac{8x(x-2)}{(x+1)^4} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \leq 2 \\ \leq 0, & x \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

Thus we conclude that the function $g_W(x)$ given by (4.29) is increasing in $x \in (0, 2)$ and decreasing in $x \in (2, \infty)$, and hence

$$(4.31) \quad M = \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_\Delta(x) = \max_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_\Delta(x) = g_\Delta(2) = \frac{32}{27}.$$

Now (4.31) together with (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) give respectively (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28). $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$(4.32) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq 4 h(P||Q),$$

$$(4.33) \quad 0 \leq \Delta^*(P||Q) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - p_i) \sqrt{\frac{q_i}{p_i}} - 4 h(P||Q)$$

and

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq 4 h(P||Q) - \Delta(P||Q) \\ &\leq \frac{4(\sqrt{R}-1)(1-\sqrt{r})}{\sqrt{R}+\sqrt{r}} - \frac{2(R-1)(1-r)}{(R+1)(1+r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $s = \frac{1}{2}$ in (4.16), we have

$$(4.35) \quad g_{\Delta}(x) = \frac{8x^{3/2}}{(x+1)^3}.$$

This gives

$$(4.36) \quad g'_{\Delta}(x) = -\frac{12\sqrt{x}(x-1)}{(x+1)^4} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \leq 1 \\ \leq 0, & x \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Thus we conclude that the function $g_{\Delta}(x)$ given by (4.35) is increasing in $x \in (0, 1)$ and decreasing in $x \in (1, \infty)$, and hence

$$(4.37) \quad M = \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Delta}(x) = \max_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Delta}(x) = g_{\Delta}(1) = 1.$$

Now (4.37) together with (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) give respectively (4.32), (4.33) and (4.34). $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *We have following inequalities:*

$$(4.38) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(P||Q) \leq \frac{32}{27} K(P||Q),$$

$$(4.39) \quad 0 \leq \Delta^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{32}{27} K(Q||P)$$

and

$$(4.40) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{32}{27} K(P||Q) - \Delta(P||Q) \\ &\leq \frac{32}{27} \frac{(R-1)r \ln r + (1-r)R \ln R}{R-r} - \frac{2(R-1)(1-r)}{(R+1)(1+r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $s = 1$ in (4.16), we have

$$(4.41) \quad g_{\Delta}(x) = \frac{8x}{(x+1)^3}.$$

This gives

$$(4.42) \quad g'_{\Delta}(x) = -\frac{8(2x-1)}{(x+1)^4} = \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \leq 0, & x \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}.$$

Thus we conclude that the function $g_{\Delta}(x)$ given by (4.41) is increasing in $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and decreasing in $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$, and hence

$$(4.43) \quad M = \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Delta}(x) = \max_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Delta}(x) = g_{\Delta}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{32}{27}.$$

Now (4.43) together with (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) give respectively (4.38), (4.39) and (4.40). $\square$

Remark 4.1. *In view of relation (1.7) and Propositions 4.1-4.5, we have the following main bounds on harmonic mean divergence:*

$$(4.44) \quad \frac{2r^3}{(r+1)^3} \chi^2(Q||P) \leq 1 - W(P||Q) \leq \frac{2R^3}{(R+1)^3} \chi^2(Q||P),$$

$$(4.45) \quad \frac{2}{(R+1)^3} \chi^2(P||Q) \leq 1 - W(P||Q) \leq \frac{2}{(r+1)^3} \chi^2(P||Q),$$

$$(4.46) \quad 0 \leq 1 - W(P||Q) \leq \frac{16}{27} K(Q||P),$$

$$(4.47) \quad 0 \leq 1 - W(P||Q) \leq 2 h(P||Q)$$

and

$$(4.48) \quad 0 \leq 1 - W(P||Q) \leq \frac{16}{27} K(P||Q).$$

The inequalities (4.45) were also studied by Dragomir [8]. The inequalities (4.48) can be seen in Dragomir [10]. The inequalities (4.32) can be seen in Dragomir [11] and Topsøe [26]. The inequalities (4.38) can be in and Dragomir [10].

5. SYMMETRIC CHI-SQUARE DIVERGENCE AND INEQUALITIES

In this section, we shall give bounds on *symmetric chi-square divergence* based on the Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 5.1. *For all $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, we have the following inequalities:*

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \leq \Psi(P||Q) \leq \rho_\Psi(P||Q),$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad \rho_\Psi(P||Q) = \Psi(P||Q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2 (p_i^2 + q_i^2)}{p_i^2 q_i}.$$

If there exists r, R ($0 < r \leq 1 \leq R < \infty$) such that

$$0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

then we have the following inequalities:

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \leq \Psi(P||Q) \leq \alpha_\Psi(r, R),$$

$$(5.4) \quad 0 \leq \Psi(P||Q) \leq \beta_\Psi(r, R)$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \beta_\Psi(r, R) - \Psi(P||Q) \\ &\leq \gamma_\Psi(r, R) [(R-1)(1-r) - \chi^2(P||Q)] \leq \alpha_\Psi(r, R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.6) \quad \alpha_\Psi(r, R) = \frac{1}{4}(R-r)^2 [2L_2^{-1}(r, R) - L_1^{-1}(r, R)],$$

$$(5.7) \quad \beta_\Psi(r, R) = (R-1)(1-r)(R+r)$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad \gamma_\Psi(r, R) = 2L_2^{-1}(r, R) - L_1^{-1}(r, R).$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.1 by considering f by f_Ψ and making necessary calculations. $\square$

Theorem 5.2. Let $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let there exists r, R ($0 \leq r \leq 1 \leq R \leq \infty$) such that $0 < r \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq R < \infty$, $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

(a) For $s \leq -1$, we have the following inequalities:

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{2(r^3 + 1)}{r^{1+s}} \Phi_s(P||Q) \leq \Psi(P||Q) \leq \frac{2(R^3 + 1)}{R^{1+s}} \Phi_s(P||Q),$$

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2(r^3 + 1)}{r^{1+s}} [\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \Psi^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{2(R^3 + 1)}{R^{1+s}} [\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2(r^3 + 1)}{r^{1+s}} [\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \beta_\Psi(r, R) - \Psi(P||Q) \\ \leq \frac{2(R^3 + 1)}{R^{1+s}} [\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)]. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For $s \geq 2$, we have the following inequalities:

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{2(R^3 + 1)}{R^{1+s}} \Phi_s(P||Q) \leq \Psi(P||Q) \leq \frac{2(r^3 + 1)}{r^{1+s}} \Phi_s(P||Q),$$

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2(r^3 + 1)}{r^{1+s}} [\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \Psi^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{2(R^3 + 1)}{R^{1+s}} [\rho_{\Phi_s}(P||Q) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2(R^3 + 1)}{R^{1+s}} [\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)] \\ \leq \beta_\Psi(r, R) - \Psi(P||Q) \\ \leq \frac{2(r^3 + 1)}{r^{1+s}} [\beta_{\Phi_s}(r, R) - \Phi_s(P||Q)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.15) \quad \Psi^*(P||Q) = \rho_\Psi(P||Q) - \Psi(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2 (p_i^2 + q_i^2)}{p_i^2 q_i}.$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$(5.16) \quad g_{\Psi}(x) = x^{2-s} f_{\Psi}''(x) = 2x^{-1-s}(x^3 + 1), \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

where $f_{\Psi}''(x)$ is as given by (2.7).

We have

$$(5.17) \quad g'_{\Psi}(x) = -2x^{-2-s} [(s-2)x^3 + (s+1)] \begin{cases} \geq 0, & s \leq -1 \\ \leq 0, & s \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

From (5.17), we conclude the followings:

$$(5.18) \quad m = \inf_{x \in [r, R]} g_{\Psi}(x) = \min_{x \in [r, R]} g_{\Psi}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(r^3+1)}{r^{1+s}}, & s \leq -1 \\ \frac{2(R^3+1)}{R^{1+s}}, & s \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.19) \quad M = \sup_{x \in [r, R]} g_{\Psi}(x) = \max_{x \in [r, R]} g_{\Psi}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(R^3+1)}{R^{1+s}}, & s \leq -1 \\ \frac{2(r^3+1)}{r^{1+s}}, & s \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

In view of (5.18) and (5.19) and Theorem 3.2, we have the required proof. $\square$

Proposition 5.1. *We have the following bounds in terms of χ^2 -divergence:*

$$(5.20) \quad (r^3 + 1)\chi^2(Q||P) \leq \Psi(P||Q) \leq (R^3 + 1)\chi^2(Q||P),$$

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2(r^3 + 1) [3\Phi_3(Q||P) - \chi^2(Q||P)] \\ & \leq \Psi^*(P||Q) \leq 2(R^3 + 1) [3\Phi_3(P||Q) - \chi^2(Q||P)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & (r^3 + 1) \left[\frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{rR} - \chi^2(Q||P) \right] \\ & \leq (R-1)(1-r)(R+r) - \Psi(P||Q) \\ & \leq (R^3 + 1) \left[\frac{(R-1)(1-r)}{rR} - \chi^2(Q||P) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $s = -1$ in (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11) we get respectively (5.20), (5.21) and (5.22). $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *The following bounds on in terms of χ^2 -divergence hold:*

$$(5.23) \quad \frac{R^3 + 1}{R^3} \chi^2(P||Q) \leq \Psi(P||Q) \leq \frac{r^3 + 1}{r^3} \chi^2(P||Q),$$

$$(5.24) \quad \frac{R^3 + 1}{R^3} \chi^2(P||Q) \leq \Psi^*(P||Q) \leq \frac{r^3 + 1}{r^3} \chi^2(P||Q)$$

and

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{R^3 + 1}{R^3} [(R-1)(1-r) - \chi^2(P||Q)] \\ & \leq \beta_{\Psi}(r, R) - \Psi(P||Q) \\ & \leq \frac{r^3 + 1}{r^3} [(R-1)(1-r) - \chi^2(P||Q)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $s = 2$ in (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14) we get respectively (5.23), (5.24) and (5.25). $\square$

We observe that the above two propositions follows from Theorem 5.2 immediately by taking $s = -1$ and $s = 2$ respectively. But still there are another values of s such as $s = 0$, $s = 1$ and $s = \frac{1}{2}$ for which we can obtain bounds. These values are studied below.

Proposition 5.3. *We have following bounds in terms of relative information:*

$$(5.26) \quad 0 \leq 3\sqrt[3]{2} K(Q||P) \leq \Psi(P||Q),$$

$$(5.27) \quad 0 \leq 3\sqrt[3]{2} [\chi^2(Q||P) - K(Q||P)] \leq \Psi^*(P||Q)$$

and

$$(5.28) \quad 0 \leq \Psi(P||Q) - 3\sqrt[3]{2} K(Q||P) \\ \leq (R-1)(1-r)(R+r) - 3\sqrt[3]{2} \frac{(R-1)\ln\frac{1}{r} + (1-r)\ln\frac{1}{R}}{R-r}.$$

Proof. For $s = 0$ in (5.16), we have

$$(5.29) \quad g_{\Psi}(x) = \frac{2(x^3 + 1)}{x},$$

This gives

$$(5.30) \quad g'_{\Psi}(x) = \frac{2(2x^3 - 1)}{x^2} \\ = \frac{2(\sqrt[3]{2}x - 1)(\sqrt[3]{4}x^2 + \sqrt[3]{2}x + 1)}{x^2} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \\ \leq 0, & x \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \end{cases}.$$

Thus we conclude that the function $g_{\Psi}(x)$ given by (5.29) is decreasing in $x \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}})$ and increasing in $x \in (\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}}, \infty)$, and hence

$$(5.31) \quad m = \inf_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Psi}(x) = \min_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Psi}(x) = g_{\Psi}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}}\right) = 3\sqrt[3]{2}.$$

Now (5.31) together with (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) give respectively (5.26), (5.27) and (5.28). $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *We have following bounds in terms of Hellinger's discrimination:*

$$(5.32) \quad 0 \leq 16 h(P||Q) \leq \Psi(P||Q),$$

$$(5.33) \quad 0 \leq 16 \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - p_i) \sqrt{\frac{q_i}{p_i}} - h(Q||P) \right] \leq \Psi^*(P||Q)$$

and

$$(5.34) \quad 0 \leq \Psi(P||Q) - 16 h(P||Q) \\ \leq (R-1)(1-r)(R+r) - \frac{16(\sqrt{R}-1)(1-\sqrt{r})}{\sqrt{R} + \sqrt{r}}.$$

Proof. For $s = \frac{1}{2}$ in (5.16), we have

$$(5.35) \quad g_{\Psi}(x) = \frac{2(x^3 + 1)}{x^{3/2}}.$$

This gives

$$(5.36) \quad g'_{\Psi}(x) = \frac{3(x^3 - 1)}{x^{5/2}} = \frac{3(x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)}{x^{5/2}} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \geq 1 \\ \leq 0, & x \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Thus we conclude that the function $g_{\Psi}(x)$ given by (5.35) is decreasing in $x \in (0, 1)$ and increasing in $x \in (1, \infty)$, and hence

$$(5.37) \quad m = \inf_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Psi}(x) = \min_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Psi}(x) = g_{\Psi}(1) = 4.$$

Now (5.37) together with (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) give respectively (5.32), (5.33) and (5.34). $\square$

Proposition 5.5. *We have the following bounds in terms of relative information:*

$$(5.38) \quad 0 \leq 3\sqrt[3]{2} K(P||Q) \leq \Psi(P||Q),$$

$$(5.39) \quad 0 \leq 3\sqrt[3]{2} K(Q||P) \leq \Psi^*(P||Q)$$

and

$$(5.40) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \Psi(P||Q) - 3\sqrt[3]{2} K(P||Q) \\ &\leq (R - 1)(1 - r)(R + r) - 3\sqrt[3]{2} \frac{(R - 1)r \ln r + (1 - r)R \ln R}{R - r}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $s = 1$ in (5.16), we have

$$(5.41) \quad g_{\Psi}(x) = \frac{2(x^3 + 1)}{x^2}.$$

This gives

$$(5.42) \quad \begin{aligned} g'_{\Psi}(x) &= \frac{2(x^3 - 2)}{x^3} \\ &= \frac{2(x - \sqrt[3]{2})(x^2 + \sqrt[3]{2}x + \sqrt[3]{4})}{x^3} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \geq \sqrt[3]{2} \\ \leq 0, & x \leq \sqrt[3]{2} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that the function $g_{\Psi}(x)$ given by (5.41) is decreasing in $x \in (0, \sqrt[3]{2})$ and increasing in $x \in (\sqrt[3]{2}, \infty)$, and hence

$$(5.43) \quad m = \inf_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Psi}(x) = \min_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{\Psi}(x) = g_{\Psi}(\sqrt[3]{2}) = 3\sqrt[3]{2}.$$

Now (5.43) together with (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) give respectively (5.38), (5.39) and (5.40). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] N.S. BARNETT, P. CERENE and S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some New Inequalities for Hermite-Hadamard Divergence in Information Theory, <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, *RGmia Research Report Collection*, **(5)**(4)(2002), Article 8.
- [2] A. BHATTACHARYYA, Some Analogues to the Amount of Information and Their uses in Statistical Estimation, *Sankhya*, **8**(1946), 1-14.
- [3] BULLEN, P.S., D.S. MITRINOVIĆ and P.M. VASIĆ, *Means and Their Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988.
- [4] P. CERONE, S.S. DRAGOMIR and F. ÖSTERREICHER, Bounds on Extended f-Divergence for a Variety of Classes, <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, *RGmia Research Report Collection*, **6**(1)(2003), Article 7.
- [5] I. CSISZÁR, Information Type Measures of Differences of Probability Distribution and Indirect Observations, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, **2**(1967), 299-318.
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some Inequalities for the Csiszár Φ -Divergence - *Inequalities for Csiszár f-Divergence in Information Theory* - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 1 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [7] S. S. DRAGOMIR, A Converse Inequality for the Csiszár Φ -Divergence- *Inequalities for Csiszár f-Divergence in Information Theory* - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 2 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [8] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some Inequalities for (m, M)-Convex Mappings and Applications for the Csiszár Φ -Divergence in Information Theory- *Inequalities for Csiszár f-Divergence in Information Theory* - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 3 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [9] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Other Inequalities for Csiszár Divergence and Applications - *Inequalities for Csiszár f-Divergence in Information Theory* - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 4 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [10] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Upper and Lower Bounds for Csiszár's f-divergence in terms of the Kullback-Leibler Distance and Applications - *Inequalities for Csiszár f-Divergence in Information Theory* - Monograph - Chapter II - Article 1 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [11] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Upper and Lower Bounds for Csiszár's f-Divergence in terms of Hellinger Discrimination and Applications - *Inequalities for Csiszár f-Divergence in Information Theory* - Monograph - Chapter II - Article 2 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [12] S. S. DRAGOMIR, J. SUNDE and C. BUSE, New Inequalities for Jeffreys Divergence Measure, *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **16**(2)(2000), 295-309.
- [13] E. HELLINGER, Neue Begründung der Theorie der quadratischen Formen von unendlichen vielen Veränderlichen, *J. Reine Aug. Math.*, **136**(1909), 210-271.
- [14] H. JEFFREYS, An Invariant Form for the Prior Probability in Estimation Problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lon., Ser. A*, **186**(1946), 453-461.
- [15] S. KULLBACK and R.A. LEIBLER, On Information and Sufficiency, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **22**(1951), 79-86.
- [16] F. LIESE and I. VAJDA, *Convex Statistical Decision Rule*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Band 95, Leipzig, 1987.
- [17] J. LIN, Divergence Measures Based on the Shannon Entropy, *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, **IT-37**(1991), 145-151.
- [18] K. PEARSON, On the Criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in the case of correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonable supposed to have arisen from random sampling, *Phil. Mag.*, **50**(1900), 157-172.
- [19] I.J. TANEJA, Some Contributions to Information Theory - I (A Survey: On Measures of Information), *Journal of Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences*, **4**(1979), 253-274.
- [20] I.J. TANEJA, On Generalized Information Measures and Their Applications, Chapter in: *Advances in Electronics and Electron Physics*, Ed. P.W. Hawkes, Academic Press, **76**(1989), 327-413.

- [21] I.J. TANEJA, New Developments in Generalized Information Measures, Chapter in: *Advances in Imaging and Electron Physics*, Ed. P.W. Hawkes, **91**(1995), 37-135.
- [22] I.J. TANEJA, *Generalized Information Measures and their Applications*, on line book: <http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/~taneja/book/book.html>, 2001.
- [23] I.J. TANEJA, Generalized Relative Information and Information Inequalities, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **5**(1)(2004), Article 21, 1-19. Also in: *RGMA Research Report Collection*, <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, **6**(3)(2003), Article 10.
- [24] I.J. TANEJA, Bounds on Non-Symmetric Divergence Measures in terms of Symmetric Divergence Measures - communicated.
- [25] I.J. TANEJA and P. KUMAR, Relative Information of Type s , Csiszár f -Divergence, and Information Inequalities, *Information Sciences*, **166**(1-4)(2004), 105-125. Also in: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, *RGMA Research Report Collection*, **6**(3)(2003), Article 12.
- [26] F. TOPSØE, Some Inequalities for Information Divergence and Related Measures of Discrimination, *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, **IT-46**(2000), 1602-1609. Also in *RGMA Research Report Collection*, 2(1)(1999), Article 9 – <http://sci.vu.edu.au/~rgmia>.
- [27] I. VAJDA, *Theory of Statistical Inference and Information*, Kluwer Academic Press, London, 1989.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, 88.040-900 FLORIANÓPOLIS, SC, BRAZIL

E-mail address: taneja@mtm.ufsc.br

URL: <http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/~taneja>